

---

336, 658

**Blazhevich Oleg Georgievich,**

Ph.D. in Economics, Associate Professor,  
Associate Professor of the Department of Business Finance and Credit,  
Institute of Economics and Management,  
V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University,  
Simferopol, Russian Federation.

**Vorobyova Elena Ivanovna,**

Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor,  
Professor of the Department of Finance and Credit,  
Institute of Economics and Management,  
V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University,  
Simferopol, Russian Federation.

**Bekirova Sevil Eskenderovna,**

Ph.D. in Economics, Associate Professor,  
Associate Professor of the Department of Business Finance and Credit,  
Institute of Economics and Management,  
V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University,  
Simferopol, Russian Federation.

**FORMING A SYSTEM FOR ASSESSING THE PERFORMANCE OF ENTERPRISES  
IN THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION**

---

The article substantiates new approaches to assessing the effectiveness of enterprises. The importance of forming a system for evaluating the effectiveness of organizations as a basic component in the market system of the state is shown. The essence of efficiency is investigated, and on the basis of the analysis of literary sources, the author's definition of the efficiency of enterprises is formulated. Groups of indicators are analyzed, the most significant of them are highlighted. Considering the performance of three companies in the oil and gas sector: PJSC Oil and Gas Company Russneft, PJSC Tatneft named after V.D. Shashin, PJSC Oil Company Lukoil, the authors supplemented and corrected the system for evaluating the activities of organizations and showed the advantages of its application in practice. The significance of the influence of external and internal factors on the activities of enterprises in the oil and gas industry is shown, and directions for reducing sanctions pressure are noted. With the rational use of enterprise resources, the use of innovative management and production technologies, it is possible not only to ensure effective functioning in the domestic market, but also to use the opportunities of foreign economic activity.

*Keywords:* efficiency, evaluation of the efficiency of enterprises, profitability, profit.

[1, 3, 8–15, 19, 23, 27, 28],  
[2, 3, 5–7, 10, 15, 19, 20, 23–26].

•

•

«

» [21, .1192].

«

» [4, .834].

«

»

( .1).

*1.* « »\*

1	2
	« — , » [14, .38].
	« , » [8].
	« — , » [15].
	« , , , » [27, .81].



..	« » [23].
.. , ..	« » [22]. ( )
..	« — » [28, .183].
..	« » [19, .301]. ( )
..	« » [11]. ( )

. 1.  
)

« » (

,  
/ ,  
/ ,  
—  
» .. « " "».  
( .3).  
3  
2022 « » ,  
2 2022  
1- 3  
2 « » 1-  
2022 , 2  
3  
2022 ,

\_\_\_\_\_

1. \_\_\_\_\_

2. \_\_\_\_\_

1.	( )	-
<hr/>		
2.	( )	-
<hr/>		
3.	.	.
<hr/>		
4.	-	.
<hr/>		
5.	.	.
<hr/>		
6.	.	.
<hr/>		

1.	-
<hr/>	
2.	-
<hr/>	
3.	-
<hr/>	

1.	-
<hr/>	


1.	.
<hr/>	
2.	.
<hr/>	
3.	.
<hr/>	

2. [2, 3, 24) (

« ».

1- 2022 28,722 %, 2 3

2- — 22,349 %.



.3. ( [16-18] ) 2022 , . . .

1- 11,203 % , 3- 2- — 13,903 % .

« » 1-3

2022

1- 2022 « » 1-3

2- 2022 « » 1-3

1 2022 3,8 , 3- 2- 23,463 % .

2022 4,3 , 3- 1

2- — 20,571 %.

2- — 27,333 %.

3 1- 2022 2- — 24,475 %.

3,5-4,3 "» 2- 1- 2022 2- 3,9

1,9 « »

1- 2022 « » 60,102 %, 3 2

2- — 31,753 %.

2022 2- — 28,619 %.

2022 2 2022 — 21,919 %, 3 1-

25,496 %.


26,773 %, 2 3 I 2022 2- — 20,983 %.

« » 1- « 2022 » « " » — 2-

2022 « » 4-4,5

"» 2-2,45 « ».

4.



.4.

2022 ( [16-18])

« » . . . « » " "»

2022

3-

2022

2- 3- « » . . . « » " "», 1-

« » ,


2- 2022 ,

3-

1- « »

1- 2022 ,

5.



5. 2022 , % ( [16-18])

« » . . . . . « » " »

2- 2022

1- , 3- 1- " »

« » . . . . . « » 3 2022 , 1- »

« » — 1- 2022 , 1- »


2- 3- — « " »»

1- 35,6 , 3- — 150 ).

6. « » . . . . . « » " »»







8. 2022 , % ( [16-18])

2,4 , 1,9 .

3- 2- « ' " "».

1- , 1-

« » . . . 2- 3- — «

" "».

9.

. « » . . .

, . . . 1- 2022 ,

. « ' " "»

, . . . 2- 2022 , 1-

3- 2- —

. 1- 2022 . 2- 3- 2022


« » 1- 2- 3-

2- — 1- ,

2- 1- 2022


9,2 . 1-

, , 1-



2- 3- — « » „».

10.




94–95,5 % « » — 92,5–97 %, « » — 97,3–98,5 %.

1- 2022

« » 1- « 2022 »

« »

11.



. II. 2022 , % ( [16–18])

« »


3- 2022

2- « 2022 »

1- 2- — 2- 2022

1- 3-

2-  
 « , " "».  
 1-  
 2- 3- — « " "».  
 12.



2022 » . . « " "»  
 « » . . 3-  
 3- 2022 , ,  
 " "» 2- « 2022  
 1- , 3-  
 2- — 2022 . 1-  
 2- 3-  
 2- 3-  
 « -  
 " "».  
 2- 2022 » 1-  
 3- 2- —  
 2022

« »

3-

1-


2022

1-

1-

»

13.



●

■

▲

.13.

2022 , % ( [16-18])

« »

1-

2022

»

2-

2022

1-  
2- —

1-

2022

2022

« »

1-

2-

3-

2-

9,2

1-

2022

1-

2-

3-

« »



1. : , : /  
— 2005.— 292 .
2. : , :  
// : , , .— 2017.— 3(40).— 23–31.— EDN  
ZWQHBN.
3. : , :  
/ .. // : , , .— 2013.— 4(23).— 28–34.— EDN  
UZCRGT.
4. .— 2- , .— :  
, 2007.— 860 .
5. : , :  
// : , , .— 2016.— 4(37).— 114–118.— EDN  
YJMOJL.
6. : , :  
// : , , .— 2016.— 2(35).— 27–32.—  
EDN WEBHFZ.
7. : , :  
// : , , .— 2016.— 1(34).— 51–55.— EDN WAZVPB.
8. — 7- .— : , 2016.— 934 .  
— 1.2 : / .. -
9. : , :  
[ ]// : -  
I .( . , 2014 .).— : , 2014.— 99–103.— :  
moluch.ru/conf/econ/archive/130/6114/( : 28.11.2022).
10. : /
11. .— : , 2011.— 248 .
12. : / . .—
13. : , 1996.— 416 .  
: ( ) : . / .. .— 2- . .—
14. :  
// .— 2006.— 1.— 35–38.
15. :  
/ .. // : .— -  
: , 2000.
16. « »:  
[ ]// : www.e-disclosure.ru/  
portal/files.aspx?id=534&type=3 ( : 28.11–04.12.2022).
17. « ” ”»: [ ]//  
: www.e-disclosure.ru/portal/  
files.aspx?id=17&type=3 ( : 28.11–04.12.2022).
18. « » : [ ]//  
: www.e-disclosure.ru/portal/  
files.aspx?id=118&type=3 ( : 28.11–04.12.2022).
19. : / .. .— 6- ,  
. — : - , 2021.— 378 .
20. : , :  
/ .. // : , , .— 2020.— 2(51).— 145–153.— DOI  
10.37279/2312-5330-2020-2-145-153.— EDN QVXMGQ.
21. : .53000 / .. ; : . . . .— 24 :  
— : « 21 »: « », 2003.—  
1200 .
22. [ ]— : economics.niv.ru/doc/  
dictionary/economical/fc/slovar-208-2.htm#zag-4418 ( : 28.11.2022).
23. : / .. .— 6- , . .  
— : - « » , 2010.— 656 .

24. // « »/ . . . —2016. — 6(7). — 242–251. — DOI 10.5281/zenodo.55911. — EDN WBDVJX.
25. // : , , . —2015. — 4(33). — 59–66. — EDN WISSJJ.
26. : , , . —2016. — 4(37). — 55–60. — EDN VYDMUZ.
27. / . // . —2003. — 3. — 81–84.
28. : / . . — 2010. — 416 .

#### СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Andriyчук V.H. Efektyvnist diyal nosti ahrarykh pidpnyemstv: teoriya, metodyka, analiz: monohrafiya / V.H. Andriyчук. — K.: KNEU, 2005. — 292 s.
2. Blazhevich, O.G. Analiz formirovaniya dokhodov predpriyatiya i otsenka ikh dostatochnosti / O.G. Blazhevich, N.A. Kiril'chuk // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2017. — 3(40). — S. 23–31. — EDN ZWQHBN.
3. Blazhevich, O.G. Teoreticheskiye aspekty povysheniya effektivnosti finansovykh pokazateley predpriyatiya / O.G. Blazhevich // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2013. — 4(23). — S. 28–34. — EDN UZCRGT.
4. Borisov A.B. Bol'shoy ekonomicheskyy slovar'. — Izdaniye 2-ye, pererabotannoye i dopolnennoye. — M.: Knizhnyy mir, 2007. — 860 s.
5. Burkal'tseva, D.D. Raschet urovnya pribyl'nosti finansovykh investitsiy / D.D. Burkal'tseva, K.Yu. Yeremeychuk, A.N. Tikhomirov // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2016. — 4(37). — S. 114–118. — EDN YJMOJL.
6. Vorobyova, E.I. Otsenka effektivnosti ispol'zovaniya finansovykh resursov / E.I. Vorobyova, E.A. Arifova, A.Ye. Polyakov // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2016. — 2(35). — S. 27–32. — EDN WEBHFZ.
7. Yermolenko, G.G. Kompleksnaya otsenka effektivnosti strakhovykh uslug / G.G. Yermolenko, Ye.A. Sinitsina // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2016. — 1(34). — S. 51–55. — EDN WAZVPB.
8. Zhuravleva G.P. Ekonomicheskaya teoriya. Mikroekonomika — 1.2 Mezoekonomika: uchebnik / G.P. Zhuravleva. — 7-ye izd. — M.: Dashkov i K, 2016. — 934 s.
9. Kaliyeva, O.M. Ponyatiye ekonomicheskoy effektivnosti kommercheskoy deyatel'nosti / O.M. Kaliyeva, M.S. Razumova, M.I. Dergunova, M.S. Govorova [Elektronnyy resurs] // Innovatsionnaya ekonomika: materialy I Mezhdunar. nauch. konf. (g. Kazan', oktyabr' 2014 g.). — Kazan': Buk, 2014. — S. 99103. — Rezhim dostupa: moluch.ru/conf/econ/archive/130/6114/ (data obrashcheniya: 28.11.2022).
10. Kosolapova V.M. Kompleksnyy ekonomicheskyy analiz khozyaystvennoy deyatel'nosti: uchebnik / V.M. Kosolapova, V.A. Svobodin. — M.: Dashkov i K, 2011. — 248 s.
11. Kreynina M.N. Sovremennyye podkhody k otsenke effektivnosti deyatel'nosti organizatsii / M.N. Kreynina // Planovoeconomicheskyy otdel. — 2011. — 11. — S. 35–45.
12. Maytal' Sh. Ekonomika dlya menedzherov: desyat' vazhnykh instrumentov dlya rukovoditeley / Sh. Maytal'. — M.: Delo, 1996. — 416 s.
13. Mukhina I.A. Ekonomika organizatsii (predpriyatiya): ucheb. posobiye / I.A. Mukhina. — 2-ye izd. ster. — M.: Flinta, 2017. — 320 s.
14. Pavlenko I.G. Podkhody k opredeleniyu ekonomicheskoy sushchnosti ponyatiya «effektivnost'» / I.G. Pavlenko // Ekonomika i upravleniye. — 2006. — 1. — S. 35–38.
15. Parinov D.V. Kompleksnyy podkhod k otsenke i analizu funktsionirovaniya proizvodstvennoy sistemy / D.V. Parinov // Intellektual'nyye informatsionnyye sistemy: trudy Vserossiyskoy konferentsii. — Voronezh: VGTU, 2000.
16. PAO Neftegazovaya kompaniya «RussNeft'»: bukhgalterskaya finansovaya otchetnost' [Elektronnyy resurs] // Tsentr raskrytiya korporativnoy informatsii Interfaks. — Rezhim dostupa: www.edisclosure.ru/portal/files.aspx?id=534&type=3 (data obrashcheniya: 28.11–04.12.2022).
17. PAO «Neftyanaya kompaniya "Lukoil"»: bukhgalterskaya finansovaya otchetnost' [Elektronnyy resurs] // Tsentr raskrytiya korporativnoy informatsii Interfaks. — Rezhim dostupa: www.edisclosure.ru/portal/files.aspx?id=17&type=3 (data obrashcheniya: 28.11–04.12.2022).
18. PAO «Tatneft'» imeni V.D. Shashina: bukhgalterskaya finansovaya otchetnost' [Elektronnyy resurs] // Tsentr raskrytiya korporativnoy informatsii Interfaks. — Rezhim dostupa: www.edisclosure.ru/portal/files.aspx?id=118&type=3 (data obrashcheniya: 28.11–04.12.2022).
19. Savitskaya G.V. Analiz khozyaystvennoy deyatel'nosti predpriyatiya: uchebnik / G.V. Savitskaya. — 6ye izd., ispr. i dop. — Moskva: INFRAM, 2021. — 378 s.

- 
20. Svyatokho, N.V. Analiz pribyl'nosti v kontekste issledovaniya ekonomicheskoy bezopasnosti predpriyatiya / N.V. Svyatokho // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2020. — 2(51). — S. 145–153. — DOI 10.37279/2312533020202145153. — EDN QVXMGQ.
21. Slovar' russkogo yazyka: Ok. 53000 slov / S.I. Ozhegov; pod obshchey red. prof. L.I. Skvortsova. — 24 izd.; ispr. — M.: OOO «Izdatel'skiy dom ONIKS 21 vek»; OOO «Izdatel'stvo Mir i Obrazovaniye», 2003. — 1200 s.
22. Sovremennyy ekonomicheskyy slovar' [Elektronnyy resurs] — Rezhim dostupa: economics.niv.ru/doc/dictionary/economical/fc/slovar2082.htm#zag4418 (data obrashcheniya: 28.11.2022).
23. Stoyanova Ye.S. Finansovyy menedzhment: teoriya i praktika / Ye.S. Stoyanova. — 6-ye izd., pererab. i dop. — M.: Izdvo «Perspektiva», 2010. — 656 s.
24. Suleymanova A.L. Analiz effektivnosti deyatel'nosti PAO «Nizhekamskshina» / A.L. Suleymanova, O.G. Blazhevich, A.P. Bondar', E.A. Arifova // Byulleten' nauki i praktiki. — 2016. — 6(7). — S. 242–251. — DOI 10.5281/zenodo.55911. — EDN WBDVJX.
25. Shal'neva, V.V. Metodika diagnostiki finansovogo sostoyaniya predpriyatiya / V.V. Shal'neva, T.G. Zhavoronok // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2015. — 4(33). — S. 59–66. — EDN WISSJJ.
26. Shal'neva, V.V. Otsenka sbalansirovannogo finansovogo plana predpriyatiya / V.V. Shal'neva // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2016. — 4(37). — S. 55–60. — EDN VYDMUZ.
27. Shafronov A. Novyy podkhod k effektivnosti proizvodstva / A. Shafronov // Ekonomist. — 2003. — 3. — S. 81–84.
28. Sheremet A.D. Kompleksnyy analiz khozyaystvennoy deyatel'nosti: Uchebnyy / A.D. Sheremet. — INFRAM, 2010. — 416 s.

15 2022

19 2022