



1. 2016-2020 . 10 . [ (3)]



2. 2016-2020 . 10 . [ (3)]

2016 . 2017 ., 2018 ., 2019 ., 2020 . ( % ) ( .3) , 2017 . 2019 . 2020 . 2016 152 1,5 515,6 ( 9% 36,4 % 2016 .).



.3. 2016–2020 . ( - [3])

( .1), « 2016-2020 . (6,8 % (8,3 % 36 169,1 .). (7,0 % 29 054,8 .), (3,3 % 63 368,9 .), (8,0 % 65 924,2 .).

I.

2016-

2020 . 10 .\*


	, %						2016- 2020 ..	2016-2020 ..
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	-		
' ,	5,0 %	4,9 %	8,9 %	7,6 %	8,7 %	7,0 %	29 054,8	2 017,8
	9,4 %	7,1 %	4,2 %	5,9 %	7,2 %	6,8 %	36 169,1	2 428,6
, ; -	8,4 %	18,5 %	86,5 %	16,4 %	15,6 %	29,1 %	19 421,5	5 351,6
	18,8 %	5,2 %	7,4 %	5,3 %	4,7 %	8,3 %	44 252,7	2 761,5
; -	9,5 %	0,9 %	1,5 %	3,3 %	1,7 %	3,3 %	63 368,9	1 970,6
-	22,5 %	14,2 %	140,9 %	63,6 %	51,3 %	58,5 %	19 328,2	12 250,2
-	15,3 %	23,4 %	34,0 %	38,9 %	16,2 %	25,6 %	11 942,0	3 120,4
-	2,8 %	3,1 %	0,8 %	10,1 %	23,3 %	8,0 %	65 924,2	5 830,9
- ; -	19,8 %	191,6 %	275,1 %	167,9 %	113,8 %	153,6 %	41 804,1	64 614,7
	23,2 %	56,6 %	28,7 %	30,2 %	28,4 %	33,4 %	16 864,6	5 594,4
-	14,2 %	12,1 %	11,9 %	17,4 %	20,9 %	15,3 %	33 967,5	5 313,1

\*

[3]

4.

86



1	,	,	-	11	
2				12	
3				13	,
4	;	,		14	-
5	;	,	-	15	-
6				16	
7		;	-	17	
8				18	,
9			-	19	,
10					

**.4.**

[ , « »] ( [3])

— ;

( .2), 45456,3 , ) ,

( 3387,33 .) ,

( 7,7 %).

( 14377,8

), ( 6053,67 .)

( 38,2 %).

2.

2016–2020 .\*

1	6	1,3,17,6,7,12	45456,3	272737,1	62,7 %	3387,3	20322,5	16,2 %	0,077
2	6	2,9,4,16,8,13	14377,8	86267,0	19,8 %	6053,7	36323,4	28,9 %	0,382
3	5	5,18,19,10,14	6690,6	33 453,1	7,7 %	573,4	2867,0	2,3 %	0,106
4	1	11	985,0	985,0	0,2 %	1545,0	1545,0	1,2 %	1,418
5	1	15	41804,0	41804,0	9,6 %	64615,0	64615,0	51,4 %	1,311

\*

[3]

62,7 %

16,2 %.

— 50 % —

2014 2018 .( .5).

2018 .,

1,9 %,  
1,7 %.

2019 .,

(84,9 %),  
(72,2 %),


( 80,9 %),

— 70 %.

(92,7 %),

( 98,9 %),  
(86,7 %),

(84,7 %).



.5.

2016–2020 ., % (

[3])

( .7).

2018 .

2019 2020 .

2020 .

2017 . (3547,3 .) 4420,6 .,

2018 . 1,4 % 0,9 %, 2020 .

2017 . 38,1 %.

2020 . 23,7 . 2018 .

27,5 . 2020 ., 25,2 .,


2018 . 1,5 .,

2020 .

6 % 7 % 2018 .

2018 . 2020 .






0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

.6.


2016–2020 ., % ( [3])



.7.

2016–2020 . ( [3])

( .8).




.8.  
2016–2020 ., % ( [3])

(13,6 %) (11,4 %), (10,7 %). 10 % (12,8 %). (20,3 %). — 10 %. 2018 . ( 179 % 103 %) ( 113 % 108 %), ( 45 % 28 %), 2018 . 2020 ., 1,9 6,4 17 135 . —

[4,5].

1,5 %



9.

2016–2020 . (

[3])

[6, 7].

[8].

2016 2020 .

( 1,1 % 1,3 % )


2016 . (3,6 )

— 2016-2020 .60 % ( .10)

9 %

2016 .(17,3 %) 9,1 % 2020 .

2018 .(3,7 %)



. 10.

2016–2020 ., % ( [3])

( 2018-2020 . 10,7 % 12,7 % (56 % 56 %), (15,1 % 15,1 %), (39,8 % 84,7 %). (25,8 % 86,7 %), (44,1 %).

(45,6 % 45,6 % 35,8 % 35,8 % )



1. — 2020. — 2 (51). — S. 95–103.
2. — 2019. — 3 (48). — S. 70–81.   
 : fedstat.ru/organizations/?expandId=1292883#fpsr1292883 ( : 02.06.2022).
3. — 2012. — 1. — S. 50–65.
4. — 2016. — 2. — S. 5–33.
5. — 2014. — 5. — S. 11–18.
6. — 2014. — 4. — S. 4–16.
7. — 2009. — 1. — S. 52–62.

9. Del Mar Salinas-Jimenez M. Public infrastructure and private productivity in Spanish Regions / M. Del Mar Salinas-Jimenez // *Journal of Policy Modelling*. — 2004. — Vol. 26. Iss. 1. — S. 47–64.

10. Alfonso A. Assessing and Explaining the Relative Efficiency of Local Government: Evidence for Portuguese Municipalities / A. Alfonso, S. Fernandes [Electronic Resource] // *ISEG Economics Working Paper*. — 2005. — no. 19/2005/DE/UECE. — Access Mode: [papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=849247](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=849247) (date of the application: 02.06.2022).

11. Alfonso A. Public Sector Efficiency. Evidence from the New EU Member States and Emerging Markets / A. Alfonso, L. Schuknecht, V. Tanzi [Electronic Resource] // *European Central Bank. Working Paper Series*. — 2006. — 581. — Access Mode: [www.ecb.int/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp581.pdf](http://www.ecb.int/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp581.pdf) (date of the application: 02.06.2022).

12. Esteller A. Does decentralization improve the efficiency in the allocation of public investment? Evidence from Spain / A. Esteller, A. Sole [Electronic Resource] // *The Fiscal Federalism and Regional Economy Research Center. The Economy Institute from Barcelona. Working Papers*. — 2005. — 5. — Access Mode: [ieub.edu/aplicacion/fixtrs/357450ART73.pdf](http://ieub.edu/aplicacion/fixtrs/357450ART73.pdf) (date of the application: 02.06.2022).

13. — 2016. — 1. — S. 63–80.   
 XXI

#### SPISOK LITERATURY

1. Bugayeva T.N. Investitsii v region: problemy otsenki i privlecheniya / T.N. Bugayeva // *Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii*. — 2020. — 2 (51). — S. 95–103.

2. Burkal'tseva D.D. Investitsii v obespechenii effektivnoy deyatelnosti predpriyatii malogo i srednego predprinimatel'stva v usloviyakh transformatsii konkurentnoy sredy / D.D. Burkal'tseva, V.A. Vernikov, O.A. Guk, A.S. Tyulin // *Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii*. — 2019. — 3 (48). — S. 70–81.

3. YEMISS gosudarstvennaya statistika [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: [fedstat.ru/organizations/?expandId=1292883#fpsr1292883](http://fedstat.ru/organizations/?expandId=1292883#fpsr1292883) (data obrashcheniya: 02.06.2022).

4. VedeV A. Nekotoryye kolichestvennyye otsenki vozdeystviya institutsional'nykh ogranicheniy na ekonomicheskoy rost v Rossii / A. VedeV, A. Kosarev // *Ekonomicheskaya politika*. — 2012. — 1. — S. 50–65.

5. Mau V. Antikrizisnyye mery ili strukturnyye reformy: ekonomicheskaya politika Rossii v 2015 godu / V. Mau // *Voprosy ekonomiki*. — 2016. — 2. — S. 5–33.

6. Aganbegyan A.G. Investitsionnyy kredit — glavnoye zveno preodoleniya spada v sotsial'no-ekonomicheskom razvitiy Rossii / A.G. Aganbegyan // *Den'gi i kredit*. — 2014. — 5. — S. 11–18.

7. Berezinskaya O. Investitsionnyy protsess v rossiyskoy ekonomike: potentsial i napravleniya aktivizatsii / O. Berezinskaya, A. VedeV // *Voprosy ekonomiki*. — 2014. — 4. — S. 4–16.

8. Igonina L.L. Finansovyy krizis i strategiya razvitiya finansovogo rynka Rossii / L.L. Igonina // *Ekonomicheskaya nauka sovremennoy Rossii*. — 2009. — 1. — S. 52–62.

9. Del Mar Salinas-Jimenez M. Public infrastructure and private productivity in Spanish Regions / M. Del Mar Salinas-Jimenez // *Journal of Policy Modelling*. — 2004. — Vol. 26. Iss. 1. — S. 47–64.

---

10. Alfonso A. Assessing and Explaining the Relative Efficiency of Local Government: Evidence for Portuguese Municipalities / A. Alfonso, S. Fernandes [Electronic Resource] // ISEG Economics Working Paper. — 2005. — no. 19/2005/DE/UECE. — Access Mode: [papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=849247](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=849247) (date of the application: 02.06.2022).

11. Alfonso A. Public Sector Efficiency. Evidence from the New EU Member States and Emerging Markets / A. Alfonso, L. Schuknecht, V. Tanzi [Electronic Resource] // European Central Bank. Working Paper Series. — 2006. — 581. — Access Mode: [www.ecb.int/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp581.pdf](http://www.ecb.int/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp581.pdf) (date of the application: 02.06.2022).

12. Esteller A. Does decentralization improve the efficiency in the allocation of public investment? Evidence from Spain / A. Esteller, A. Sole [Electronic Resource] // The Fiscal Federalism and Regional Economy Research Center. The Economy Institute from Barcelona. Working Papers. — 2005. — 5. — Access Mode: [ieb.ub.edu/aplicacio/fitxers/357450ART73.pdf](http://ieb.ub.edu/aplicacio/fitxers/357450ART73.pdf) (date of the application: 02.06.2022).

13. Buzgalin A. Planirovaniye: potentsial i rol' v rynochnoy ekonomike XXI veka / A. Buzgalin, A. Kolganov // Voprosy ekonomiki. — 2016. — 1. — S. 63–80.

20 2022

15 2022