

Mashyanova Elena Evgenevna,
Senior Lecturer of the Department of Finance and Credit,
Institute of Economics and Management (structural subdivision),
V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University,
Simferopol, Russian Federation.

Smirnova Elena Aleksandrovna,
Ph.D. in Economics, Associate Professor,
Associate Professor of the Department of Finance and Credit,
Institute of Economics and Management (structural subdivision),
V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University,
Simferopol, Russian Federation.

ANALYSIS OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CRIMEA

In conditions of instability and inconsistency of socio-economic processes of territorial development, the main task of scientific research and practical actions is to form the financial security of regions. Each territory must adapt to the complex conditions of political and socio-economic instability and solve complex problems that pose threats to their development. Indicators of socio-economic development of each region are characterized by the quality of life of the population, the level of satisfaction of needs and conditions for the development of human potential. Currently, it is important to analyze and evaluate indicators that characterize social and economic well-being in the Republic of Crimea, as well as their comparison with indicators of other subjects of the Russian Federation. The paper assesses the level of socio-economic development of the Republic of Crimea, taking into account financial security. The main indicators, the rating of the socio-economic situation and the rating on the quality of life of the southern Federal district, as well as the share of subjects in the total turnover of organizations and the volume of investment in fixed assets by regions of the southern Federal district are presented. The indicators of socio-economic

2.


*

	2018 .	% 2017 .
		106
		95
«	713	97
»,	8976	93
.	3289	104
.	1053	101
, %		105
, .	26311	99
, %		102
, .	30849	110

*

[3]

1. 2018 .




1. 2018 . ([3])

01.01.2019 . 5,7 %

(.2),

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.



2. ([3]). , %

« »

1. « — . 4 :

» [4].

2. « — , » [4].

3. « — , » [4].

4. « — » [4].

» [4].

(. 3). 3 , 39 85 2017 . 76 4 , « »

*

	2019 .	2018 .	2019 ..	2018 ..
	8	9	67,971	64,066
	15	13	59,038	59,238
	29	27	47,738	47,180
	39	39	42,892	42,184
	47	50	39,147	36,866
.	64	63	29,874	29,476
	69	67	28,068	26,991
	80	79	17,361	18,162

[4]

» [5].

(. 4).

✻

	2019 .	2018 .	2019 .	2018 .
	6	6	63,067	63,973
.	17	20	55,355	53,527
	19	19	54,415	54,124
	27	31	50,133	47,973
	42	37	46,204	47,307
	47	52	45,460	43,803
	54	56	42,495	41,725
	78	81	31,498	28,757

[5]

2019 . 47

2018 .

6

2

« —

» [6, . 280].

210

5. - 2018 . *

* [8]

6. « [7, . 1702].

- 2020 - 3

6.

*

	/ , %			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
	113,2	104,0	105,1	109,4
	101,2	104,5	109,5	117,4
	100,3	96,4	88,9	118,7
	178,7	566,0	241,4	78,5
	94,9	101,9	109,5	101,9
	136,3	235,7	134,4	73,1
	106,5	102,4	105,3	107,6
	101,0	108,3	105,9	104,2
	127,6	107,2	101,4	102,6
	94,4	95,6	92,3	106,2

* [3, 8]

2016 .
 , 2019 .
 17,4 %.
 2016–2018 . -
 . 2019 .
 18,7%.
 2017 . 2019 .
 21,5 %.
 , , 2019 . 27 %.
 , , , ,
 . 2019 . 6,2 %.
 ,

7.

7.

, . *

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018/ 2015, %
	79,5	47,7	30,0	23,8	29,9
..	46,0	26,5	13,8	10,0	21,7
	35,5	21,3	16,3	13,8	38,8
	100,1	67,0	63,7	56,5	56,4
..	49,5	43,0	35,2	18,8	38,0
	50,7	24,0	28,5	37,7	74,4
()	-20,6	-19,3	-33,7	-32,7	-26,5
	0,79	0,70	0,47	0,42	0,38

* [8]

7

2015 . 80,3 . 2018 . 179,6 . 44,71 %.

212

2017 . 55,7 . ., 70 %.

2015 2018 . 2016 .

43,6 . ., 43,56 %.

25,6 %

— 62 %.

2015 .

(. 8).

8.

*

	2015	2016	2017	2018
, . .	23,8	25,2	26,3	28,1
() , %	110	106	104	107
, %	28	12	11	9
, %	-18	-6	-6	-3

*

[8]

8

2015 .

(. 9).

«...

» [9, . 92].

9.

*

	2015	2016	2017	2018
() , %	-21	-26	-24	-23
, . .	1896	1907	1914	1921
, . .	537,18	564,51	537,79	533,62
, %	28	30	28	29
, %	1,3	1,3	0,95	1,1

*

[8]

(. 10).

«

213

10.

*


									, %			
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2015	2016	2017	2018	2015	2016	2017	2018
	255,1	283,9	304,9	326,2	85,1	79,3	70,3	85,0	88,3	85,6	84,9	116,4
	100,5	139,9	171,6	187,7	14,0	25,0	39,2	102,1	...	134,3	136,3	2,3

*

[8]

» [10].

(. 3).



2017	319287	144093	190770	22858	484105	10448	195377	30382
2016	294481	117999	183642	19134	435095	9139	74795	18547
2015	309436	113169	200191	15756	586903	16605	47582	6558
2014	264173	116856	182798	17155	750236	22609	26447	3385

. 3.

[8])

2018 .

[11].

214

1. . . . ; — : / , 2019. — 362 .
2. — : rostov.gks.ru/storage/mediabank/short_2018_4.pdf (: 19.08.2020).
3. : 28 2016 . 375 []. — : www.garant.ru/products/ipo/prime/doc/71354144/#ixzz6Z2pDiQaX (: 17.08.2020).
4. — 2019 []. — : ria.ru/20200601/1572067019.html (: 19.08.2020).
5. — 2019 []. — : ria.ru/20200217/1564483827.html (: 19.08.2020).
6. . . . // . — 2017. — 13. — . 279–281.
7. . . . // . — 2019. — 9. 3. — . 1697–1710.
8. []. — : crimea.gks.ru/ (: 19.08.2020).
9. // : , , . — 2017. — 1. — . 90–93.
10. — : www.ra-national.ru/sites/default/files/analitic_article/IPR-6-06112018.pdf (: 19.08.2020).
11. . . . / // : , , . — 2020. — 2 (51). — . 56–67.

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Analiz tendentsiy sotsial'no-ekonomicheskogo razvitiya Respubliki Krym: monografiya / pod red. d.e.n., dotsenta Yu.P. Maydanevich; FGAOU VO KFU im. V.I. Vernadskogo. — Simferopol': POLIPRINT, 2019. — 362 s.
2. Sotsial'no-ekonomicheskoye polozheniye Yuzhnogo federal'nogo okruga v yanvare-dekobre 2019 goda [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: rostov.gks.ru/storage/mediabank/short_2018_4.pdf (data obrashcheniya: 19.08.2020).
3. O Yuzhnom federal'nom okruge: Ukaz Prezidenta RF ot 28 iyulya 2016 g. 375 [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: www.garant.ru/products/ipo/prime/doc/71354144/#ixzz6Z2pDiQaX (data obrashcheniya: 17.08.2020).
4. Reyting sotsial'no-ekonomicheskogo polozheniya regionov po itogam 2019 goda [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: ria.ru/20200601/1572067019.html (data obrashcheniya: 19.08.2020).
5. Reyting rossiyskikh regionov po kachestvu zhizni — 2019 [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: ria.ru/20200217/1564483827.html (data obrashcheniya: 19.08.2020).
6. Dolgodvorova K.V. Osobennosti obespecheniya ekonomicheskoy bezopasnosti Respubliki Bashkortostan / K.V. Dolgodvorova, E.G. Shakirova, K.A. Semenova // Molodoy uchenyy. — 2017. — 13. — S. 279–281.
7. Sribnyy V.I. Obespecheniye ekonomicheskoy bezopasnosti Respubliki Krym: ugrozy i kontrmery / V.I. Sribnyy // Ekonomicheskiye otnosheniya. — 2019. — Tom 9. 3. — S. 1697–1710.
8. Ofitsial'nyy sayt Upravleniya Federal'noy sluzhby gosudarstvennoy statistiki po Respublike Krym i g. Sevastopolyu [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: crimea.gks.ru/ (data obrashcheniya: 19.08.2020).
9. Burenina N.B. Ugrozy i strategicheskiye napravleniya obespecheniya ekonomicheskoy bezopasnosti Rossii / N.B. Burenina // RISK: Resursy, informatsiya, snabzheniye, konkurentsia. — 2017. — 1. — S. 90–93.
10. Reyting investitsionnoy privlekatel'nosti regionov Rossii po itogam 2018 goda [Elektronnyy resurs]. — Rezhim dostupa: www.ra-national.ru/sites/default/files/analitic_article/IPR-6-06112018.pdf (data obrashcheniya: 19.08.2020).
11. Mash'yanova Ye. Ye. Otsenka finansovoy bezopasnosti Respubliki Krym / E.E. Mashyanova, E.A. Smirnova // Nauchnyy vestnik: finansy, banki, investitsii. — 2020. — 2 (51). — S. 56–67.

8 2020

21 2020