

**Stankevich Anastasija Alekseevna,**  
PhD in Economics,  
Senior Lecturer of the Department of Management of Sustainable Development,  
V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University,  
Simferopol.

**SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS:  
FROM THE CONCEPT TO SOCIAL STABILITY**

In the article, the author has tried to reveal the main factors of sustainable development of systems. The author claims that at present the emphasis is on the influence of the human environment. A huge number of cultures, religions, peculiarities of the mentality of the population of our state, the level of education, the development of the economy are components of sustainable development management and at the same time problems of practical implementation, which determined the relevance of the topic, its significance in this article. The purpose of this article is the author defined the implementation of the principles of sustainable development through the organic nature of all relationships built on long-term coordinated and useful solutions between the organization and management personnel who are interested in economic results, social security of skilled workers, ecology, and politics. The tasks that the author defined for disclosure in the article have an important aspect in determining the concept of sustainable development of systems, namely: a theoretical review of the term sustainable development of the enterprise, industry, state; the main indicators of social sustainability are analyzed; proposals are formulated to calculate the overall sustainable development of the enterprise, taking into account social indicators. Making the emphasis in this article on the social components of sustainable development of the enterprise, the author, nevertheless, also studied the economic component of the organization's activities. The author claims that for today there is no single concept of corporate social responsibility in Russia. For comparison, abroad, social responsibility is considered as a subsystem of social responsibility of society, the company, the state. Nevertheless, the author in the article tried to structure the concept of social sustainability. The final task, which the author set for himself in writing this article, was structured and proposals were formulated on such goals as: increasing internal social stability; increase external social stability. From enterprises, the company requires environmental management, social policy at the enterprise, to treat its employees fairly, to be honest with its customers, to be involved in raising the level of education and professional competence in the sectors where they are participants, to support social projects and much more.

---

*Keywords:* sustainable development, social sustainability, economic sustainability, environmental sustainability, staff qualifications, social programs

16,6 %.

[6],  
[12, . 48–56], [16, . 89–90], [17, . 186–193],  
[4], [7, . 136–139]

[2, . 159–163], [3], [5, . 74–83],  
[9, . 78–80], [8, . 200–202]

1)  
2)  
3)


» [11, . 113].

«

» [18, . 31].



( .1).



.1.

( )

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

[2, .217-219]).



1. / . . . // « . . . » : « . . . » ( . . . , 27–28 . . . 2014 .). — : : « . . . », 2014. — . 286–291.
2. / . . . // . . . —2015.— 6.— . 159–163.
3. . . . : / . . . ; « . . . », 2011.— 272 .
4. . . . : / . . . , . . . « . . . », 2014. — 232 .
5. . . . / . . . // 2012.— 7-1 (126). — . 74–83.
6. . . . : / . . . , . . . — : . . . , 2014.— 314 .
7. . . . // . . . — 2012.— 4.— . 136–139.
8. . . . / . . . - . . . // : . . . - . . . : XII . . . — . 200–202.
9. . . . / . . . , . . . // : XII . . . — . 78–80.
10. . . . : . . . // . . . —2015.— 4.— . 149–153.
11. . . . / . . . , . . . // . . . —2015.— 4.— . 149–153.
12. 2016 . — : . . . , 2016. — . 113–116.
13. / . . . // : . . . . 2011.— 40.— . 48–56.
14. ( . . . ) . . . : / . . . —2014.— 5.— . 135–139.
15. / . . . // : XIII . . . — . 247–249.
16. XIII . . . — : . . . , 2017.— . 249–250.
17. . . . —2012.— 4.— . 89–90.
18. / . . . // 2012.— 3.— . 186–193.
19. . . . / . . . , . . . // 3- . . . , . . . , 9–10 2016 . — : . . . , 2016. — . 29–33.

#### СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Arsenov V.V. Ekologo-ekonomicheskiy reyting predpriyatiya kak element ustoychivym razvitiyem regiona i predpriyatiya / V.V. Arsenov // Atoyanskiye chteniya. Materialy kruglogo stola, provedennogo v ramkakh mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii «Problemy i perspektivy innovatsionnogo razvitiya ekonomiki» i mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii «Sotsial'no-trudovaya sfera v usloviyakh innovatsionnogo razvitiya: global'noye i lokal'noye» (Saratov, 27–28 noyabrya 2014 g.). — Saratov: Izdatel'stvo: Obshchestvo s ogranichennoy otvetstvennost'yu «KUBiK», 2014. — S. 286–291.
2. Balashov Ye.L. Osobennosti funktsionirovaniya i otsenka effektivnosti gosudarstvennoy kooperatsii na primere GK Rosatom / Ye.L. Balashov // Aktual'nyye problemy gumanitarnykh i yestestvennykh nauk. — 2015. — 6. — S. 159–163.
3. Blagov Yu.Ye. Korporativnaya sotsial'naya otvetstvennost': evolyutsiya kontseptsii / Yu.Ye. Blagov; Vysshaya shkola menedzhmenta SPbGU. — 2-ye izd. — SPb.: Izd-vo «Vysshaya shkola menedzhmenta», 2011. — 272 s.

- 
4. Ustoychivoye razvitiye ekonomicheskikh sistem: ot kontseptsii k upravleniyu : monografiya / pod red. N.B. Zav'yalovoy, O.V. Saginovoy. — M.: FGBOU VPO «REU im. G.V. Plekhanova», 2014. — 232 s.
5. Igumnov O.A. Teoreticheskiye aspekty genezisa kontseptsii korporativnoy sotsial'noy otvetstvennosti / O.A. Igumnov // Nauchnyye vedomosti Belgorodskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Seriya: Ekonomika. Informatika. — 2012. — 7-1 (126). — S. 74–83.
6. Kaplan R. Sbalansirovannaya sistema pokazateley: ot strategii k deystviyu / R. Kaplan, D. Norton. — M.: Olimp-Biznes, 2014. — 314 s.
7. Konoshenko L.A. Strategicheskiy analiz ustoychivogo razvitiya organizatsii // Problemy sovremennoy ekonomiki. — 2012. — 4. — S. 136–139.
8. Maslovskaya S.S. Osobennosti formirovaniya i razvitiya organizatsionnoy kul'tury na predpriyatii / S.S. Maslovskaya / Integratsiya Respubliki Krym v sistemu ekonomicheskikh svyazey Rossiyskoy Federatsii: teoriya i praktika upravleniya: materialy XII mezhhregional'noy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii s mezhdunarodnym uchastiyem. — Simferopol': DIAYPI, 2016. — S. 200–202.
9. Ostrik V.Yu. Faktory, opredelyayushchiye effektivnost' motivatsionnoy politiki predpriyatiya / V.Yu. Ostrik, A.D. Barkalova // Integratsiya Respubliki Krym v sistemu ekonomicheskikh svyazey Rossiyskoy Federatsii: teoriya i praktika upravleniya: materialy XII mezhhregional'noy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii s mezhdunarodnym uchastiyem. — Simferopol': DIAYPI, 2016. — S. 78–80.
10. Rotar' T.S. Ustoychivoye razvitiye predpriyatiya: sushchnost' i metodika rascheta integral'nogo indeksa ustoychivogo razvitiya predpriyatiya / T.S. Rotar', V.G. Niazyan // Statistika i matematicheskiye metody v ekonomike. — 2015. — 4. — S. 149–153.
11. Sapun O.L. Formirovaniye sovremenno go spetsialista kak dvizhushchey sily strategii ustoychivogo razvitiya predpriyatiya na osnove informatsionnykh tekhnologiy / O.L. Sapun, N.A. Syrokvash // Aktual'nyye problemy formirovaniya kadrovogo potentsiala dlya innovatsionnogo razvitiya APK: materialy 3-y Mezhdunar. nauch.-prakt. konf., Minsk, 9–10 iyunya 2016 g. — Minsk: BGATU, 2016. — S. 113–116.
12. Silifonkina S.V. Sbalansirovannaya sistema pokazateley dlya diagnostiki ustoychivogo razvitiya ekonomiki regiona / S.V. Silifonkina // Ekonomicheskiy analiz: teoriya i praktika. 2011. — 40. — S. 48–56.
13. Smol'kin V.P. Ustoychivoye ekologicheskoye razvitiye: osnovnyye podkhody i ponyatiya / V.P. Smol'kin // Vestnik severnogo (arkticheskogo) federal'nogo universiteta. Seriya: gumanitarnyye i sotsial'nyye nauki. — 2014. — 5. — S. 135–139.
14. Tusnetova A.I. Sistema sbalansirovannykh pokazateley kak instrument realizatsii i otsenki strategii ustoychivogo razvitiya / A.I. Tusnetova // Integratsiya Respubliki Krym v sistemu ekonomicheskikh svyazey Rossiyskoy Federatsii: teoriya i praktika upravleniya: materialy XIII mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii. — Simferopol': Poliprint, 2017. — S. 247–249.
15. Finogentova A.V. Ustoychivoye ekologicheskoye razvitiye: kratkiy teoreticheskiy aspekt / A.V. Finogentova // Integratsiya Respubliki Krym v sistemu ekonomicheskikh svyazey Rossiyskoy Federatsii: teoriya i praktika upravleniya: materialy XIII mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii. — Simferopol': Poliprint, 2017. — S. 249–250.
16. Fomenko Ye.V. Metodika formirovaniya sbalansirovannoy sistemy pokazateley / Ye.V. Fomenko // Vestnik Astrakhanskogo gosudarstvennogo tekhnicheskogo universiteta. — 2012. — 4. — S. 89–90.
17. Shesterikova N.V. Mekhanizm formirovaniya strategii ustoychivogo razvitiya predpriyatiya na osnove sistemy sbalansirovannykh pokazateley / N.V. Shesterikova // Vestnik Nizhnegorodskogo universiteta im. N.I. Lobachevskogo. — 2012. — 3. — S. 186–193.
18. Shpak A.P. Povysheniye ustoychivosti razvitiya APK stran Yevraziyskogo ekonomicheskogo soyuza / A.P. Shpak, S.A. Kondratenko // Aktual'nyye problemy formirovaniya kadrovogo potentsiala dlya innovatsionnogo razvitiya APK: materialy 3-y Mezhdunar. nauch.-prakt. konf., Minsk, 9–10 iyunya 2016 g. — Minsk: BGATU, 2016. — S. 29–33.

5 2018

28 2018